

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 \* \* \*

4 GEORGE DOLLAR,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE  
8 DEPARTMENT, *et al.*,

9 Defendants.  
10

Case No. 2:15-cv-00712-APG-CWH

**ORDER ON REPORT AND  
RECOMMENDATION**

(ECF. No. 8)

11 On August 24, 2017, Magistrate Judge Hoffman entered a report and recommendation that  
12 I dismiss this case with prejudice because plaintiff George Dollar has ceased participating in the  
13 case and has not complied with the court's orders. Dollar did not file an objection. Thus, I am  
14 not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. §  
15 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the  
16 report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made"); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*,  
17 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) ("the district judge must review the magistrate  
18 judge's findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise" (emphasis  
19 in original)). I nevertheless find that Judge Hoffman sets forth the proper legal analysis and  
20 factual basis for the decision.

21 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judge Hoffman's report and recommendation (**ECF**  
22 **No. 8**) is accepted. Plaintiff George Dollar's complaint is DISMISSED with prejudice. The  
23 clerk of court is directed to enter judgment accordingly.

24 DATED this 29th day of September, 2017.

25   
26 ANDREW P. GORDON  
27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
28